

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/006,743	12/04/2001	Jonathan James Stone	450110-03698 2606	
20999 7	590 06/06/2005	EXAMINER		
FROMMER LAWRENCE & HAUG			LEMMA, SAMSON B	
NEW YORK,	VENUE- 10TH FL. NY 10151		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,			2132	
	·		DATE MAILED: 06/06/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/006,743	STONE, JONATHAN JAMES			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Samson B. Lemma	2132			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).					
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 28 Fe	ebruary 2005.				
	<u> </u>				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 1-3,5-13,15-28 and 31-44 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) Claim(s) 1-3,5-13,15-28 and 31-44 is/are rejected.  7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>					
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa	(PTO-413) te atent Application (PTO-152)			

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

1. This office action is in replay to an amendment filed on February 28, 2005.

Claims 1, 5-7, 11, 15-17, 21-24 and 26 have been amended. Claims 4 and

14 are cancelled and claims 29 and 30 have previously been cancelled. Applicant has added new claims 40-44. Claims 1-3, 5-13,15-28, and 31-44 are pending.

## **Response to Arguments**

2. Applicant's arguments with respect to Claims 1, 5-7, 11, 15-17, 21-24 and 26 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1.5-28.31-43 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over, Chung et al (hereinafter referred as Chung)(U.S. Patent 6,310,962) in view of "Linnartz". (hereinafter referred to as Linnartz) (U.S. Patent No. 6,314,518) further in view of Hayashi et al. (hereinafter referred to as Hayashi) (U.S.Publication No. 2003/0161496 A1)

5. As per claims 1, 11,27 and 28 Chung discloses a material processing system/computer program for processing material including a watermark, the system comprising (the "material" is met by the applicant as e.g. video, audio or data on the submitted abstract on the first sentence and on the submitted diagram, figure 1, ref. Num "632". The office has interpreted the "material" in light of the disclosure)

- A remover for removing the watermark, (figure 6, ref. Num "224";figure 7, ref. Num "270"; Column 8, lines 65-Column 9, line 3; column 9, lines 45-50)
- A processor for processing the material from which the watermark has been removed, (figure 6, ref. Num "224"; Column 8, lines 65-column 9, line 3) (The "processor" is defined by the applicant as editor a special effect machine, a mixer or any other video processor as explained on the submitted disclosure page 3, lines 5-7. The office has interpreted the processor as the "adaptive estimator" for video processing which is used for preventing the error during the motion estimation by first removing the digital watermark information and process the encoded data without the watermark information as explained on column 8, lines 65- column 9 line 3 and shown on figure 6, ref. Num "230")
- An inserter for inserting a watermark into the processed material. (Figure 6, ref. Num "240"; figure 7, ref. Num "272"; column 8, lines 35-59) (The digital data which the watermark has been removed from by the digital watermark remover is processed by the adaptive estimator and then the digital watermark is inserted again on the processed encoded data as shown on figure 6, ref. Num "240". Figure 7, ref. Num "272" also shows how the digital watermark is re-inserted once it has been removed.)

Chung does not explicitly disclose a system according to claim 1, and 11 further comprising a database processor linked to the remover, the database processor containing data enabling the removal of the watermark from the material to be processed.

However, in the same field of endeavor, Linnartz discloses a detector as shown on figure 6, ref. Num "69" able to detect or retrieve or remove the watermark information from the digital data and send it back to the controller in the drive as shown on figure 6, ref. NUM "52" after the digital data is decrypted by retrieving the key which is shown on figure 6, ref. Num "65" at the decryption unit or key storage unit as shown on figure 6, ref. Num "66". The drive as shown on figure 6, ref. Num "52" first encrypt the digital data which has a watermark information in it with the encryption key shown on figure 6, ref. Num "62" stored at the unit shown on figure 6, ref. Num "61" and sent the encrypted digital data through a secure path shown on figure 6, ref. Num "63". The decoder has a storage unit as shown on figure 6, ref. Num "66" which stores the decryption key as shown on figure 6, ref. Num "65". The detector shown on figure 6, ref. Num "69" using this key accessed from the storage unit, retrieves or removes the watermark information and encrypt this watermark information at the encryption unit as shown on figure 6, ref. Num "67" and send to the controller shown on figure 6, ref. Num "53", via secure path shown on the figure 6, ref. Num "64". The controller controllers the processing of the material based on this watermark information. (Column 8, lines 31-56)

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made, to combine the features of accessing the enabling data or encryption/decryption key from the key store to retrieve or detect or removes the watermark information which is embedded in the digital data as per

teachings of Linnartz in to the method taught by Chung, in order to securely remove the watermark and communicate the watermark to controller so that the watermark information is not damaged during processing and prevent illegally accessing or changing of the watermark information by unauthorized users.

The combination of Chung and Linnartz does not explicitly disclose combining the watermark code with predetermined components of the material and the removal of the watermark from the material to be processed, the enabling data indicating the predetermined component of the material with which the watermark code word has been combined.

However, in the same field of endeavor, Hayashi discloses the watermark embedding means for embedding a watermark information at a predetermined component of the material in coefficient having values falling within a predetermined range of the input image data. [page 1, ref. Num "0022"] and the enabling data or the information necessary for extraction /removal of watermark is sent to the watermark extracting/removing device shown on figure 2, ref. Num "203". This enabling data indicates the predetermined component of the material with which the watermark code has been combined. The digital-watermark extracting device shown on figure 2, ref. Num "203" extracts the information indicating the predetermined component of the material with which the watermark is combined and be able to extract/remove the watermark.[column 4, ref. Num "0102", ref. Num "0107"0108" and figure 1, figure 2 and abstract]

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made, to combine the features of combining the watermark with **the predetermined component of the material** and to provide the remover /watermark extractor with the enabling data or information necessary

for the extraction of the predetermined component of the material with which the watermark is combined as per teachings of Hayashi in to the method of removing watermark information as taught by the combination of **chung and**Linnartz in order to reliably extract the digital-watermark information.[See Hayashi page 1, ref. Num "0018"]

6. As per claims 12 and 13 the combination of Chung, linnartz and Hayashi discloses the method as applied to claim 11 above. Furthermore Chung discloses the method wherein the steps of removing and inserting are automatic and independent of a user of the processor and are also hidden from the user. (figure 6, ref. Num "240" and ref. Num "242"; figure 7, ref. Num "270" and ref. Num "272"; column 9, lines 37)

#### 7. As per claims 21-24 and 40 Chung discloses

- A method of removing data embedded in material (figure 6, ref. Num "242" and figure 7, ref. Num "270"; Column 8, lines 65-Column 9, line 3; column 9, lines 45-50) (the "data embedded in material" is met by the applicant and the office as the "watermark" as explained on the submitted disclosure on pages 1 and 2) comprising the steps of:
- Receiving material in which data is embedded; (figure 6, ref. Num "242", figure 7, ref. Num "272") (the digital data with the watermark embedded in it is received at the digital watermark remover as shown on figure 6, ref. Num "242" and figure 7, ref. Num "242" from the "dequantizer" as shown on figure 6 and 7 respectively.)

Furthermore Nakano discloses how the watermark is intentionally removed at the digital watermark remover. (Figure 6, ref. Num "242" and figure 7, ref. Num "270")

Chung does not explicitly disclose accessing an information store storing information enabling the data to be removed; and removing the said data using the enabling data

accessed from the store. (The "enabling data" is defined by the applicant as "encryption /decryption key" as explained on page 1, line 24, the same interpretation is used by the office).

However, in the same field of endeavor, Linnartz discloses a detector as shown on figure 6, ref. Num "69" able to detect or retrieve or remove the watermark information from the digital data and send it back to the controller in the drive as shown on figure 6, ref. NUM "52" after the digital data is decrypted by retrieving the key which is shown on figure 6, ref. Num "65" at the decryption unit or key storage unit as shown on figure 6, ref. Num "66". The drive as shown on figure 6, ref. Num "52" first encrypt the digital data which has a watermark information in it with the encryption key shown on figure 6, ref. Num "62" stored at the unit shown on figure 6, ref. Num "61" and sent the encrypted digital data through a secure path shown on figure 6, ref. Num "63". The decoder has a storage unit as shown on figure 6, ref. Num "66" which stores the decryption key as shown on figure 6, ref. Num "65". The detector shown on figure 6, ref. Num "69" using this key accessed from the storage unit, retrieves or removes the watermark information and encrypt this watermark information at the encryption unit as shown on figure 6, ref. Num "67" and send to the controller shown on figure 6, ref. Num "53",via secure path shown on the figure 6, ref. Num "64". The controller controllers the processing of the material based on this watermark information. (Column 8, lines 31-56)

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made, to combine the features of accessing the enabling data or encryption/decryption key from the key store to retrieve or detect or removes the watermark information which is embedded in the digital data as per teachings of Linnartz in to the method taught by Chung, in order to securely remove the watermark and communicate the watermark to controller so that the

watermark information is not damaged during processing and prevent illegally accessing or changing of the watermark information by unauthorized users.

The combination of Chung and Linnartz does not explicitly disclose combining the watermark code with predetermined components of the material and the removal of the watermark from the material to be processed, the enabling data indicating the predetermined component of the material with which the watermark code word has been combined.

However, in the same field of endeavor, Hayashi discloses the watermark embedding means for embedding a watermark information at a predetermined component of the material in coefficient having values falling within a predetermined range of the input image data. [page 1, ref. Num "0022"; abstract] and the enabling data or the information necessary for extraction /removal of watermark is sent to the watermark extracting/removing device shown on figure 2, ref. Num "203". This enabling data indicates the predetermined component of the material with which the watermark code has been combined. The digital-watermark extracting device shown on figure 2, ref. Num "203" extracts the information indicating the predetermined component of the material with which the watermark is combined and be able to extract/remove the watermark.[ column 4, ref. Num "0102", ref. Num "0107"0108" and figure 1, figure 2 and abstract]

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made, to combine the features of combining the watermark with the predetermined component of the material and to provide the remover /watermark extractor with the enabling data or information necessary for the extraction of the predetermined component of the material with which the

watermark is combined as per teachings of Hayashi in to the method of removing watermark information as taught by the combination of chung and Linnartz in order to reliably extract the digital-watermark information.[See Hayashi page 1, ref. Num "0018"]

8. As per claims 5 and 15, Chung discloses the method of removing watermark information and later inserting the watermark information as shown on figure 6, ref. Num "242" and ref. Num "240" or figure 7, ref. Num "270" and ref. Num "272").

Chung does not explicitly disclose a database processor linked to the inserter, the database processor containing data enabling insertion of the watermark into the processed material. (The "enabling data" is defined by the applicant as "encryption /decryption key" as explained on page 1, line 24, the same interpretation is used by the office).

However, in the same field of endeavor, **Linnartz** discloses a decoder and a drive which are communicating the watermark information through a secure link by using encryption/decryption key as shown on figure 6, ref. Num "62" and ref. Num "65" which is stored in the encryption/decryption unit as shown on figure 6, ref. Num "61" and ref. Num "66" respectively which is interpreted by the office as the database for storing keys.(figure 6; column 8, lines 31-56)

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made, to combine the features of accessing and transmitting watermark information using encryption/decryption key from the key store or database to access or retrieve the watermark information as per teachings of Linnartz in to the method taught by Chung, in order to securely insert the watermark and prevent illegally accessing or changing of the watermark information by unauthorized users. Therefore,

what has been disclosed above by the combination of Chung, linnartz and Hayashi meets the recitation of the claims 5 and 15.

9. As per claims 9 and 19, Chung discloses two ways of preventing illegal copying one method is using encryption and the other method is using watermark method. The watermark is embedding or inserting to prevent illegal copying. (Column 1, lines 43-62). Furthermore Chung discloses that the watermark is used on the original image and is invisible to unauthorized users but the author can prove that the copied image is his by virtue of an arbitrary reverse processing. (Column 1, lines 59-62)

**Chung** does not explicitly disclose the system arranged to check the authenticity of the said material including the reversible watermark.

However, in the same field of endeavor, **Linnartz** discloses using the drives hashes and adds random number and signs the MPEG stream using the well known cryptographic algorithms like RSA or DSA. The MPEG decoder then verifies the signature and detects the watermark and sends the message back to the drive. (Column 8, lines 65-column 9, line 1).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made, to combine the features of checking the authenticity of the communicating parities transferring and receiving digital data using hashes and digital signature as per teachings of Linnartz in to the method taught by Chung, in order to authenticate the digital data or the material on which the watermark is embedded. Therefore, what has been disclosed above by the combination of Chung, linnartz and Hayashi meets the recitation of the claims 9 and 19.

10. As per claims 6, 16, 31 and 34, the combination of Chung, Linnartz and Hayashi discloses the methods as applied to claims 1, 11, 5 and 15 above. Furthermore Linnartz discloses the system/ method/ apparatus further wherein the said enabling data includes an encryption key. (figure 6, ref. Num "62"; column 8, lines 31-56)

- 11. As per claims 7, 17, 32, and 35, the combination of Chung, Linnartz and Hayashi discloses the methods as applied to claims 1, 11, 5 and 15 above. Furthermore Linnartz discloses the system/ method/ apparatus wherein the inserter and the remover are linked to the database processor by a communications link. (figure 6, ref. Num "64")
- 12. As per claims 8, 18, 36, the combination of Chung, Linnartz and Hayashi discloses the methods as applied to claims 7, 17 and 35 above. Furthermore Linnartz discloses the system/ method/ apparatus wherein the communications link includes the internet. (Column 9, lines 53-58)
- 13. As per 33, the combination of Chung, Linnartz and Hayashi discloses the methods as applied to claims 8 above. Furthermore Linnartz discloses the system/method/apparatus wherein the inserter and the remover are linked to the database processor by a communications link. (figure 6, ref. Num "64")
- 14. As per claims 10, 20 and 41-42 the combination of Chung, Linnartz and Hayashi discloses the methods as applied to claims 9 and 19 above. Furthermore Linnartz discloses the system/ method/ apparatus wherein arranged to disable the said processor if the material fails the authenticity check. (Column 8, lines 57-67)
- 15. As per claim 25, the combination of Chung, Linnartz and Hayashi discloses the methods as applied to claims 23 above. Furthermore Linnartz discloses an apparatus

wherein a generator for generating the enabling information. (Figure 6, ref. Num "61", figure 6, ref. Num "66" and figure 6, ref. Num "67" and "60") (The "enabling data" is defined by the applicant as "encryption /decryption key" as explained on page 1, line 24, the same interpretation is used by the office. These keys are generated/available and stored wherever the encryption and decryption is performed as explained on column 8, lines 31-57).

- 16. As per claims 26, 37-39 and 43, the combination of Chung, Linnartz and Hayashi discloses the methods as applied to claims 24,21,22,1 above. Furthermore Chung discloses an apparatus wherein the material is one or more of video material, audio material and data material. (Figure 1, ref "Video Input")
- Claims 2 and 3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over, Chung et al (hereinafter referred as Chung)(U.S. Patent 6,310,962) in view of "Linnartz". (hereinafter referred to as Linnartz) (U.S. Patent No. 6,314,518) further in view of Hayashi et al. (hereinafter referred to as Hayashi) (U.S. Publication No. 2003/0161496 A1) further in view of Nakano, Hirotaka. (hereinafter referred to as Nakano) (European patent application publication). (EP 0859503 A2)
- 18. As per claim 2, the combination of Chung, Linnartz and Hayashi discloses that the digital watermark inserter as shown on figure 6, ref. Num "240" makes digital watermark information not removable by a user except an author or authorized user. (column 8, lines 11-12).

The combination of Chung, Linnartz and Hayashi does not explicitly disclose the processor has a user interface for controlling the processes performed thereby.

However, in the same field of endeavor, **Nakano** discloses authorized users accessing the watermark information produced by the processor by interfacing with data

communication controller as shown on figure 6, ref. Num "604". (Column 6, line 50-column 7, line 24)

Page 13

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made, to combine the features of proving authorized user interface for accessing the watermark produced by the processor through the controller as per teachings of **Nakano** in to the method taught by the combination of Chung, Linnartz and Hayashi in order to provide authorized user to directly access the corresponding watermark information.

19. As per claims 3, the combinations of chung, Linnartz, Hayashi and Nakano discloses the system as applied to claims 2 and above. Furthermore Chung discloses the system wherein the remover and the inserter are arranged to operate automatically and independently of the user. (figure 6, ref. Num "240" and ref. Num "242"; figure 7, ref. Num "270" and ref. Num "272"; column 9, lines 37)

### Conclusion

20. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

Application/Control Number: 10/006,743

Art Unit: 2132

Page 14

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later

than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Samson B Lemma whose telephone number is 571-272-

3806. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday (8:00 am---4: 30 pm).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, BARRON JR GILBERTO can be reached on 571-272-3799. The fax phone

number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-

872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published

applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status

information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For

more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you

have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business

Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

SAMSON LEMMA

SIL.

May 10, 2005

6 Ibrat 37 GILBERTO BARRON JA. SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100